UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,057	. 10/12/2004	Hubert Baumgart	PAT-00293	2264
	26922 7590 07/17/2007 : BASF CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
Patent Department			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
1609 BIDDLE MAIN BUILD		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WYANDOTTE	E, MI 48192		1713	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LORI.HASS@BASF.COM MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM CDavenport@CantorColburn.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/511,057	BAUMGART ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William K. Cheung	1713			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ag	oril 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.		•			
• •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
6)⊠						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 1	•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of the office action of January 22, 2007.

Applicant's arguments filed April 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the content of the instant application relates to a thermally curable, thixotropic mixture comprising carbamate and/or allophanate groups. Since US 7,098,257 refers to complementary groups, in terms of (meth)acrylate polymers, as groups that are reactive with acrylate and methacrylate polymers, applicants believe that the claimed "complementary" would have an ordinary meanings of "reactive with". However, the examiner disagrees because applicants' specification still fail to provide an accurate meaning for the claimed "complementary" feature.

**Art Unit: 1713** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

**Art Unit: 1713** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Voris et al. (US 7,056,522) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 7 of the office action of January 22, 2007.

Applicant's arguments filed April 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Voris et al. is not a good art for the instant rejection because it is an analogous art, in view of Voris et al. relate to a method for applying and delivering pesticides, insecticides and repellents to structure, surfaces of structures, and materials important to commerce and industry, and more particularly to polyurethane polymer systems. However, applicants must recognize that the instantly

Art Unit: 1713

claimed invention relates to a mixture composition, not its intended uses. As long as Voris et al. adequately disclose the composition as claimed, the rejection set forth on January 22, 2007 is proper.

Regarding applicants' argument that Voris et al. teach allophanate as one of six possible types of crosslinking, and Voris et al. do not teach a mixture containing allophanate groups comprising both (A) at least one oligomer and/or polymer containing at least one allophanate group or contains one carbamate group or contains one carbamate group and at least one allophanate group, and (B) at least one thixotropic agent comprising a urea or a urea derivative prepared by reacting at least one amine and/or water with at least one polyisocyanate, the examiner disagrees because Voris et al. (col. 10, line 3-4) teach a system comprising six types of crosslinks, not six possible type of crosslinks. Regarding the claimed "mixture" feature, applicants must recognize that a polyurethane resin is inherently a mixture. Regarding the claimed "thixotropic agent", it is merely a recitation of the intended use of the feature taught in Voris et al. (col. 10, line 48-61). Applicants must recognize that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Art Unit: 1713

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/511,057

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

July 4, 2007